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ABSTRACT
Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a disease of Dristipatala. Raktpitta, Dosha Avarana and Dhatukshya are the main etiological factors involved. Madhumeha is vatika type of Prameha and all the three Dosha and Saptadhatu except Asthidhaut gets vitiated. Mainly Rakta, Mamsa and Mada dhatu affected by both etiopathological mechanisms of Avarana and Dhatukshya. Agnimandya and Ama formation are initiating factors of the main disease Madhumeha and all these leads to complications similar to Raktapitta due to Rasayani daurvalya. Ojas kshaya is another etiological factor in Madhumeha. In Pranavritta vyana Vyanavayu gets obstructed by Pranavayu and leads to Indriyasunyata. Raktvritta vata too have a role in development of DR Pathology. Timir is described under Vataja nanattma vyadi by Charaka. Vagbhata and Yogratnakara advised Basti chikitsa in Timir vyadi. By considering the above facts Panchatikta Panchaprasritikta Basti was considered for this trial to reduce pathology of Sira abhisyandam and vasculopathy in diabetic retinopathy cases. Tilataliadi Yoga was considered to reduce hemorrhagic effects in retina and as Nasya is the shortest and effective route for CNS drug delivery for vision improvement, Tilataliadi Nasya was considered for this study. Amrutadi Guggulu was considered for this study, as it has anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, hypolipidemic and above all Srotosodhaka properties, which is particularly indicated for Timir vyadi. Thus in present study an attempt has been made to prevent and check the progression of different stages of non proliferative stages of diabetic retinopathy (NPDR). Mixed results were obtained with statistically significant improvement in visual acuity, fundus signs and laboratory parameters.
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INTRODUCTION
Diabetes mellitus is a life style disorder which originates due to improper dietary habits and sedentary life style and is one of the most prevalent metabolic diseases of present times. Diabetes affects almost all aspects of intermediary metabolism and is also associated with accelerated ageing of the cardiovascular system. Hence diabetes is best defined as a metabolic cum vascular syndrome of multiple etiologies characterized by chronic hyperglycemia with disturbances of carbohydrates, fat and protein metabolism resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action or both, leading to changes in both small blood vessels (microangiopathy) and large blood vessels (macroangiopathy) and which is often associated with long term damage, leading to malfunction and failure of various organs like eyes, kidneys, heart, nerves and blood vessels.¹

Diabetic retinopathy is a chronic progressive, potentially sight-threatening disease of the retinal microvasculature associated with prolonged hyperglycemia and other conditions linked to diabetes mellitus are hypertension, hyperlipidemia and proteinuria etc.² Almost all the patients with Type I diabetes develop retinopathy in about 15 years. Diabetics have a 20-25 times greater risk of blindness as compared to the normal population.³ Prevalence of DR in Wisconsin Epidemiological Study of Diabetic Retinopathy (WESDR) was 50.1% and 54.2% in the diabetes control and complications trial (DCCT) in IDDM and 35-39% in United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) in NIDDM. In two studies from South India, the prevalence rates of DR in NIDDM patients were 34.1% and 37%. In the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES), we evaluated urban sample of diabetic patients and estimated the overall prevalence of DR as 17.6%. The Diabetic Retinopathy Study (DRS) and the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) have shown that early treatment can reduce the risk of relentless vision loss by 57%.⁴

On viewing the above burden of DR in society an effective and comprehensive approach is necessary to address this global problem. Though modern medicine has solutions in early PDR and PDR stages, like Photocoagulation, intravitreal steroids and Anti VEGF injections but these too have their own complications and side effects in varying degree. Thus in this study an attempt has been made to explore the possibilities to prevent and check the progression of diabetic retinopathy with Ayurveda interventions. Strategies aimed at therapeutic interventions in diabetic retinopathy were based on the understanding of etiological and pathological mechanisms behind its development and progression in both modern medicine and Ayurveda. Hence an attempt was made to study the efficacy of Panchatikta Niruha (Panchatikta Panchaprasritika) Basti, Tilataliadi Nasya and
**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Aims & Objectives**

1. To evaluate the efficacy of *Pancha Tikta Pancha Prasrutik Basti, Tilatali Nasya* and *Amrutadi Guggulu* in early diabetic retinopathy cases.
2. To reduce the risk of vision loss or slow the progression of Diabetic retinopathy in patients with mild to severe non proliferative or early proliferative diabetic retinopathy.
3. To find out a probable correlation and etiopathogenesis of Diabetic retinopathy in Ayurveda.

**Sources of Data** – Patients of either sex diagnosed with mild to severe NPDR were selected for this study from OPD and IPD of Shalakaya Department of National Institute of Ayurveda Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India. The ethical committee (IEC, NIA, Jaipur) clearance number of the clinical study is F 10 (5)/EC/2014/7224 dated 7/11/2014.

**Criteria for selection of patients**

- Patients attending the O.P.D. and I.P.D. of N.I.A.
- were screened having the signs and symptoms of diabetic retinopathy. The diagnosis was done on the basis of careful history taking and clinical examination.

**Inclusion criteria**

1. Diagnosed cases of Diabetes both Type I and Type II.
2. Mild to severe non proliferative Diabetic retinopathy with or without Macular edema.
3. Visual acuity more than 6/60
4. Age between 20 -70 yrs.

**Exclusion criteria**

1. Visual acuity - less than 6/60
2. Uncontrolled Hypertensive patients with systolic BP>170 and diastolic BP>110 mm Hg.
3. Pregnant diabetic patients.
4. Diabetic patients with other cardiovascular problems like CAD, Post MI, post CABG cases.

**Clinical study was accomplished in three phases:** Diagnostic Phase, Interventional Phase and Assessment Phase.

**Diagnostic criteria**

Selected patient were subjected to a complete examination; findings were recorded in the specially designed case proforma and fundus drawing charts for diabetic retinopathy examination as per Ayurveda and Modern parameters.

The examinations which were carried out are:

1. Best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) using snellen’s chart (for distant vision) and Jaugar’s chart (for near vision) with Log MAR visual acuity notations.
2. Slit lamp examination for any gross pathology in anterior segment.
3. Ocular pressure was examined by both non contact tonometry (NCT) and Schiotz tonometry method.
4. Fundus was examined by Direct Ophthalmoscope and Indirect slit lamp bio microscope with +90 D lens after complete pupil dilation with a short acting mydriatic Tropicacyl plus eye drops. (Combination of Phenylepinephrine and Tropicamid). Findings were recorded in fundus drawing charts for before and after treatment.

**Lab investigations**

Eight parameters were assessed before and after treatment i.e. CBC, ESR, FBS, PPBS, Lipid profile, Blood urea, Serum creatinine and Urine albumin.

**Design of the study:** This study is designed with an open label pre and post study evaluation method. A comparative study between two groups was also done.

**Interventions**

A total of 30 patients diagnosed with diabetic retinopathy from mild to severe NPDR stages were selected and randomly divided into two groups A & B, each group having 15 patients, by using random number table. Each group was subjected to the treatment in the following methods.

**Group A:** Patients were treated with *Panchatikta Panchaprasritika Basti (PPB)* along with *Amrutadi Guggulu* (AG) tablet orally. After *sadyasnehan* and *swedana*, *kostha sodhana* was adopted depending on the *kostha* and *prakritti* of the patient. *Trikatu churna* was used for deepna pachana and *Go ghrita* was used for sadyasnehan. *Triphala churna* was given for *kostha sodhana*. After *kostha suddhi* the patient were given PPB (8 No of Basti) on every alternate day for 16 days and 2 tablets of *Amrutadi Guggulu* (500mg each) was also given orally along with this treatment for 30 days.

**Group B:** After *Kostha suddhi* selected patients of diabetic retinopathy were administered *Tilatali Marsha Nasya* (8 drops each nostril) daily for 7 days and orally 2 tablets of *Amrutadi guggulu* (500mg each) twice daily with water after food for 30 days. *Purvakarma* with *Dasamoola taila Mukha abhyanga* followed by *Mridu swedana* was done. And after mucous removal the patients were given saline water *Kaval* (saindhva lavana mixed in warm water) as *Paschat Karma*.

**Follow up** – Follow up was done after one month of completion of treatment.

**Methods of preparation of Trial Drugs**

*Tilatali Nasya*[5] contains *Tilatila, Bibhitakatala, Bhringaraja* and *Vijayasara* (Asana) and was prepared by the Tailapaka vidhi.

*Amrutadi Guggulu*[6] a compound drug contains 17 drugs namely *Triphala, Guduchi, Vasa, Patola, Raktaachandana, Musta, Katuki, Kutaja, Chirayata, Chitraka, Duralabha, Yava, Gambhari, Sunthi* and *Sudha Guggulu*. All the trial drugs were prepared in GMP certified Pharmacy of National Institute of Ayurveda, Jaipur.

*Pancha Tikta Pancha Prasrutik Basti*[7] contain following ingredients in different proportion according to the quotation given in Charak Samhita, as shown below.

It was prepared by adding four *Prashrit* (4×80=320 ml) decoction of *Patol, Nimba, Bhunimba, Rasna, Saptaparna* and one *Prashrit* (80 ml) *Go ghrita* with *Sarshapa Kalka* (Paste of Brassica compestris) 1. *Kwath* (Decoction of *Patol, Nimba, Bhunimba, Rashna, Saptaparna*) 320 ml.
2. \textit{Kalka} (for the present study 10g of \textit{sarshapa kalka} was taken, as 40g of sarshapa kalka practically causes adverse effects like burning micturation and reduced \textit{Basti} retention time ) [8]

3. Ghee as \textit{Sneha} -80 ml
4. Bee honey 80 ml
5. \textit{Saindhava} (Rock salt) 5 g

\textbf{Preparation of the \textit{Basti Dravya}}: All the ingredients were are taken in the required quantity. Initially \textit{Madhu} (bee honey) and rock salt, were triturated until sound disappears, then \textit{Sneha}, \textit{Kalka}, \textit{Kvatha} and \textit{Avapa Dravya} were added sequentially keeping triturating in progress until it becomes a homogeneous mixture. [9] Then the mixture was heated indirectly in hot water bath to make \textit{Sukhoshna} i.e. nearer to the normal body temperature. \textit{Basti} was administered as per classical methods of \textit{Niruha Basti} procedure and \textit{Ahara Vihara} followed accordingly.

\textbf{ASSESSMENT CRITERIA}

The effect of treatment was assessed on the basis of both subjective and objective criteria according to a self designed scoring system. Scoring methods for objective parameters are mentioned in Table No.1.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
\textbf{Microaneurysms} & 0- Absent: No microaneurysms \\
& 1- Mild : < 1/12 th of Fundus area \\
& 2- Moderate : 1/12 th to < 3/12 th of fundus area \\
& 3- Severe : 3/12 th or more of fundus area \\
\hline
\textbf{Intra Retinal Haemorrhage} & 0- Absent: No haemorrhages \\
& 1- Mild : < 1/12 th of fundus area \\
& 2- Moderate : 1/12 th to < 3/12 th of fundus area \\
& 3- Severe : 3/12 th or more of fundus area \\
\hline
\textbf{Exudates} & 0- Absents: No Exudates \\
& 1- Mild : < 1/12 th of fundus area \\
& 2- Moderate : 1/12 th to < 3/12 th of fundus area \\
& 3- Severe : 3/12 th or more of fundus area \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Objective assessment criteria}
\end{table}

\textbf{Subjective assessment criteria}

\textbf{1. Diminished Vision:}
Grade 0- No diminished vision
Grade 1- Diminished vision but without imitating activities
Grade 2- Sometimes difficulty in performing routine work
Grade 3- Unable to do things independently

\textbf{2. Frequent changes in Presbyopic number}
Grade 0- No frequent change in Presbyopic number
Grade 1- Change in Presbyopic number in 3-4 months
Grade 2- Change in Presbyopic number in every 2 months
Grade 3- Change in Presbyopic number in every 15-30 days

\textbf{3. Floaters}
Grade 0- No perception of floaters
Grade 1- Occasionally interfering with routine work.
Grade 2- Regularly interfering with routine work.
Grade 3- Can’t perform routine work.

\textbf{4. Flashes of light}
Grade 0- No perception of flashes of light.
Grade 1- Occasionally interfering with routine work.
Grade 2- Regular interfering with routine work.
Grade 3- Unable to perform routine work.

\textbf{5. Dark Adaptation}
Grade 0- Adaptation to darkness within few seconds.
Grade 1- Slow dark adaptation within 10-20 seconds
Grade 2- Slower dark adaptation within 20-30 seconds.
Grade 3- Slowest dark adaptation beyond 30 seconds.

\textbf{Visual acuity assessment}

Visual acuity assessment was done with Log MAR visual acuity notations with Snellen’s visual acuity chart. Visual acuity notations are depicted in Table No.2.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
\textbf{Snellens (6/6)} & \textbf{LogMAR (0)} & \textbf{Snellens (6/6)} & \textbf{LogMAR (0)} \\
\hline
6/3 & -0.3 & 6/48 & 0.9 \\
6/4 & -0.2 & 6/60 & 1.0 \\
6/5 & -0.1 & 5/60 & 1.1 \\
6/6 & 0 & 4/60 & 1.2 \\
6/7.5 & 0.1 & 3/60 & 1.3 \\
6/9 & 0.2 & 2.5/60 & 1.4 \\
6/12 & 0.3 & 2/60 & 1.5 \\
6/15 & 0.4 & 1.5/60 & 1.6 \\
6/18 & 0.5 & 1.25/60 & 1.7 \\
6/24 & 0.6 & 1/60 & 1.8 \\
& 0.7 & 0.75/60 & 1.9 \\
6/36 & 0.8 & 0.5/60 & 2.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Visual Acuity Notations}
\end{table}
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The scoring of criteria of assessment was analysed statistically in terms of mean values of B.T. (Before Treatment), A.T (After treatment), S.D (Standard Deviation), and S.E (Standard Error). Various observations were made and results obtained were computed statistically using Student t test, Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranks test and Mann Whitney test on Graph Pad Instat 3 software. The results obtained were considered extremely significant for p value <0.001, very significant for <0.01, significant for p value <0.05 and non significant for p value >0.05.

OBSERVATIONS

On Age: Maximum patients (53.33%) were of age group between 61-70 years. On Gender: The incidence of sex in the groups shows female preponderance (60%) as compared to males (40%).

On Education: Maximum patients of the study (43.33%) were educated up to primary standards only. This shows their unawareness towards their health status and regular checkups. On Onset of DR: In the study, all (100%) patients had gradual onset of ocular complaints. On duration of DM: Maximum numbers of patients (40%) were having history of Diabetes up to 5 years. 30% reported duration of 6-10 years and 23.33% of patients DM for 11-15 years. This shows minimum of 5 years of duration of DM is required for development of DR sing and symptoms. On family history of DM/DR: Positive family history of DM was found in 60% of the patients. Rest (40%) reported absence of any family history. On Bowel/Kostha: 60% of patients were having Krura kostha, 26.66% having Madhyama kosta and rest 13.33% had Mridu kosta. This data indirectly indicates towards Vatavridhi in maximum of patients. Again this data matched with the quote from Sushruta ‘madhumhinam durvirechyaha’.

RESULTS

On comparison both the groups showed insignificant results in all the objective parameters except soft exudates which was significant (p=0.0358) at p<0.05 and Group A showed significant than Group B as mean difference Group A> Group B. On microaneurysm, flame shape hemorrhages, dot/blot hemorrhages, and intra retinal microvascular abnormalities (IRMA) were none of the groups showed significant results. But individually Group A showed significant results on Hard exudates (p=0.0156) at p<0.05. [Table No.3, 4]

Table 3: Effect of Therapy on Objective parameters in Group A (Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranks test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>% of Relief</th>
<th>SD ±</th>
<th>SE±</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microaneurysm</td>
<td>1.467</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>0.1667</td>
<td>11.36</td>
<td>0.3790</td>
<td>0.06920</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flame shape. H</td>
<td>0.8667</td>
<td>0.7333</td>
<td>0.1333</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>0.3457</td>
<td>0.06312</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dot/ blot. H</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>0.9000</td>
<td>0.1333</td>
<td>12.90</td>
<td>0.3457</td>
<td>0.06312</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard exudate</td>
<td>1.333</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>0.2333</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>0.4302</td>
<td>0.07854</td>
<td>28.000</td>
<td>0.0156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Exudate</td>
<td>0.2667</td>
<td>0.2333</td>
<td>0.03333</td>
<td>12.49</td>
<td>0.7649</td>
<td>0.1396</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>0.8311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRMA</td>
<td>0.5667</td>
<td>0.4333</td>
<td>0.1333</td>
<td>23.52</td>
<td>0.3457</td>
<td>0.06312</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Effect of Therapy on Objective parameters in Group B (Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranks test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>% of Relief</th>
<th>SD ±</th>
<th>SE±</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microaneurysm</td>
<td>1.267</td>
<td>1.133</td>
<td>0.1333</td>
<td>10.52</td>
<td>0.3457</td>
<td>0.06312</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flame shape. H</td>
<td>0.4333</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.1333</td>
<td>30.76</td>
<td>0.3457</td>
<td>0.06312</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dot/ blot. H</td>
<td>0.4000</td>
<td>0.2667</td>
<td>0.1333</td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>0.3457</td>
<td>0.06312</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard exudate</td>
<td>0.5667</td>
<td>0.5333</td>
<td>0.03333</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>0.1826</td>
<td>0.03333</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>&gt; 0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Exudate</td>
<td>0.2667</td>
<td>0.1333</td>
<td>0.1333</td>
<td>49.98</td>
<td>0.5074</td>
<td>0.09264</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRMA</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.1667</td>
<td>0.1333</td>
<td>44.43</td>
<td>0.3457</td>
<td>0.06312</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On comparison both the group showed same results in all the subjective symptoms and are not significant. But individually the effects of therapy in Group A on diminish of vision and delayed dark adaptation showed significant results than Group B. On perception of flashes of light the Group A only showed significant results. None of the groups showed significant results on visual perception of floaters. [Table No.5, 6]
Table 5: Effect of Therapy on Subjective parameters in Group A (Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranks test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mean BT</th>
<th>Mean AT</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>% of Relief</th>
<th>SD ±</th>
<th>SE±</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diminish of Vision</td>
<td>1.767</td>
<td>1.233</td>
<td>0.5333</td>
<td>30.18</td>
<td>0.5074</td>
<td>0.09264</td>
<td>136.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>ES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent change in Presbyopic glasses</td>
<td>0.6000</td>
<td>0.3333</td>
<td>0.2667</td>
<td>44.45</td>
<td>0.4498</td>
<td>0.08212</td>
<td>36.0000</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>VS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception of flashes of light</td>
<td>0.8000</td>
<td>0.6000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>0.4068</td>
<td>0.07428</td>
<td>21.0000</td>
<td>0.0313</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floaters</td>
<td>0.8333</td>
<td>0.6897</td>
<td>0.1724</td>
<td>20.68</td>
<td>0.3844</td>
<td>0.07139</td>
<td>15.0000</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed dark Adaptation</td>
<td>1.367</td>
<td>0.7333</td>
<td>0.6333</td>
<td>46.32</td>
<td>0.5561</td>
<td>0.1015</td>
<td>171.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>ES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Effect of Therapy on Subjective parameters in Group B (Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranks test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mean BT</th>
<th>Mean AT</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>% of Relief</th>
<th>SD ±</th>
<th>SE±</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diminish of Vision</td>
<td>1.333</td>
<td>0.6667</td>
<td>0.6667</td>
<td>50.01</td>
<td>0.4795</td>
<td>0.08754</td>
<td>210.0000</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>ES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent change in Presbyopic glasses</td>
<td>0.5333</td>
<td>0.4333</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>0.3051</td>
<td>0.05571</td>
<td>6.0000</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception of flashes of light</td>
<td>0.2069</td>
<td>0.06667</td>
<td>0.1379</td>
<td>28.11</td>
<td>0.3509</td>
<td>0.06517</td>
<td>10.0000</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floaters</td>
<td>0.4333</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.1333</td>
<td>30.76</td>
<td>0.3457</td>
<td>0.06312</td>
<td>10.0000</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed dark Adaptation</td>
<td>1.067</td>
<td>0.7667</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>28.11</td>
<td>0.4661</td>
<td>0.08510</td>
<td>45.0000</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>VS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On comparison of both groups on best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) it was found statistically significant (p=0.0119) at p<0.05. As the mean score of Group A is 0.2387 > 0.1207 of Group B, So effect of therapy on visual acuity in group A is better than Group B by 49.43%.But individually both groups showed extremely significant results on visual acuity with 31.27% relief in Group A and 35.5% relief in Group B. [Table.No.7, 8]

Table 7: Effect of Therapy on BCVA (Log MAR) (Student Paired - t test) Group A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BCVA (LogMAR)</th>
<th>Mean BT</th>
<th>Mean AT</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>% of Relief</th>
<th>Paired &quot;t&quot; test</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>0.7733</td>
<td>0.4893</td>
<td>0.2840</td>
<td>36.72</td>
<td>0.1973</td>
<td>0.05094</td>
<td>5.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE</td>
<td>0.7533</td>
<td>0.5600</td>
<td>0.1933</td>
<td>25.66</td>
<td>0.2120</td>
<td>0.05474</td>
<td>3.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOTH (30 Eyes)</td>
<td>0.7633</td>
<td>0.5247</td>
<td>0.2387</td>
<td>31.27</td>
<td>0.2064</td>
<td>0.03769</td>
<td>6.332</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: Effect of Therapy on BCVA (Log MAR) (Student Paired - t test) Group B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BCVA (LogMAR)</th>
<th>Mean BT</th>
<th>Mean AT</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>% of Relief</th>
<th>Paired &quot;t&quot; test</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>0.3667</td>
<td>0.2360</td>
<td>0.1307</td>
<td>35.64</td>
<td>0.1504</td>
<td>0.03882</td>
<td>3.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE</td>
<td>0.3133</td>
<td>0.2027</td>
<td>0.1107</td>
<td>35.33</td>
<td>0.1309</td>
<td>0.03380</td>
<td>3.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOTH (30 Eyes)</td>
<td>0.3400</td>
<td>0.2193</td>
<td>0.1207</td>
<td>35.50</td>
<td>0.1389</td>
<td>0.02536</td>
<td>4.759</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On comparison of both the groups showed same results on all the parameters of laboratory investigations except urea, creatinine and urine albumin, which was significant for urea and creatinine and very significant for urine albumin. Thus on the basis of mean difference Group A showed better results than Group B on urea, creatinine and urine albumin. On ESR Group A showed better result with 23.49% relief, than Group B (3.86%). On FBS none of the groups showed significant results. On PPBS Group A showed better results than Group B. On Total cholesterol and Triglyceride level of lipid profile study, Group B showed better results than Group A.
DISCUSSION

Diabetic retinopathy basically Dristipatalagata roga and is mainly attributed to Sira srotas abhisyandam and Raktavaha sroto dusti due to a variety of Achakshyushya ahara and Vihara karanas especially in Premeha patients.\(^{[10]}\) Etiological factors of Madhumeha, Raktaaja vyadhi and endogenic eye diseases are almost similar and are mainly Achakshyushya factors which vitiates Pitta and Rakta. This explains the logical approach towards the development of microvascular complication in diabetic retinopathy cases and manifested as symptoms of Urdhwaga raktapitta. The whole pathology of Diabetic retinopathy starts with microangiopathy, which is clearly stated in Ayurveda as Sroto dusti of Raktavaha srotos, manifested as Attipravritti, Sanga and Granthi as haemorrhages, exudates and venous beading in diabetic retinopathy respectively.

In this study individually the effects of therapy in Group A on diminish of vision (DOV) and delayed dark adaptation (DA) showed significant results than Group B and this may be attributed to improvement in lens changes and retinal/macular edema. The reduction in blood sugar and retinal edema by oral drug Amrutadi Guggulu (AG) and Panchatikta Pancha Prasritika Basti (PPB) in group A is potentially due to reduction in morbid Raktapittas dosha and Sothahara, Rasayana properties of the drugs in PPB and AG. Both the treatments might have helped in increasing the nutritional status of sensory cells of the retina by improving the quality of blood and reducing the retinal edema and thereby the quality of Chakshyus Vaisheshika Alochaka pitta improved. Improvement in lipid profile, serum albumin and ESR in group A might be responsible for improvement in hard exudates, which again attributes to PPB and AG treatment in Group A. Anti-inflammatory and hypolipidemic properties of drugs in PPB and AG led to the improvements in Hard Exudates in diabetic retinopathy cases. Soft exudates (cotton-wool spots) are fluffy white lesions that occur in the posterior pole as a result of axonal degeneration of the nerve fiber layer and develops in areas of arteriolar occlusion. Vatahara and Rasayana, properties of Rasana in PPB were responsible for improvement in soft exudates in Group A patients. On fundus signs there were not much comparative significant effect. But individually Group A showed better results particularly in hard exudates and soft exudates/cotton wool spots. The better results in Group A reflected the therapeutic effectiveness of Basti as main line of treatment for Timir chikitsa. Contents of PPB and AG are having Raktapradasana, Chakshyusya, Rasayana properties with anti-inflammatory, lipid lowering activities and healing properties (Ropanakarma) on blood capillaries.

Visual acuity was improved about 30% in both the groups with better improvement in Group A. This shows the importance of Basti chikitsa in Patalagata timir. The drug contents of Basti were having anti-inflammatory, Chakshyusya, Rasayana and Raktapradasana properties. As visual acuity is particularly the function of macula, improvement in BCVA shows that therapy in Group A was more effective in reducing macular edema. Lipid profile, blood sugar and urine albumin were improved significantly in Group A. Reduction in proteinuria, blood sugar and lipid profile might have impact in improvement of macular edema due to both PPB and AG treatment in Group A. The treatments in Group A were more effective than Group B on urine albumin reduction. As renal function and urine albumin has direct role in pathogenesis of DR and macular/retinal edema formation, significant reduction in urine albumin in Group A has definite role in improvement in visual acuity. More over the presence of gross proteinuria at base line is associated with 95% increased risk of developing diabetic macular edema in WESDR study. Blood sugar reduction Group B is more effective than Group A. This may be attributed to neuroendocrine stimulation due to Nasya therapy with Tilatalaidi Yog which contains Bibhitaka, Vijayasara and Bhringaraja. However in group A blood sugar reduction was not significant, this is because more number of patients in this group were in severe category with uncontrolled blood sugar and Tikta kasaya properties of drugs might have increases the Ruksha guna of Vata leading to more Dhatukshaya.

CONCLUSION

Panchatikta Pancha Prashritika Basti by virtue of its Tikta Kasaya Rasa pradhan drugs, Chakshyusya, Ropana, Rasayana, Vatasamaka, Madhumehanasak and anti-inflammatory properties were effective in promoting Srotosodhana of Raktavaha Srotas, thus promoted improvement in vision. All the drugs in PPB were having antioxidant properties, this might have led to detoxification and reduction in Ama formation, and this way helped in Raktavaha srotosodhana. Reduction in hard exudates and soft exudates in patients of DR draws conclusion that Basti treatment can be an effective therapy in diabetic retinopathy cases. Bringaraj and Bibhitak in Tilatalaidi Yoga are having Raktapittashamaka and Chakshyusya properties. Nasya therapy has its direct action on neuroendocrine stimulation and gets absorbed in Sirasrotas via cavernous sinuses. Vision improvement in Group B proves that Tilatalaidi Nasya can be included in treatment protocol of DR. Amrutadi Guggulu can be an effective drug for diabetic retinopathy treatment. Reduction in lipid profile, renal function tests and urine albumin in both the groups showed that, this drug could have helped in purifying the micro channels of body and retinal micro vessels as well. Vision improvement in both the groups showed that the drugs and procedures were effective in reduction of macular edema. But duration of therapy should be prolonged to get desired result. Above all Quality of Vision was improved in both the groups. Non significant results on clinical findings in Group B indicates that comprehensive management including Sodhana, shaman and Rasayana chikitsa are required to address the multiple etiological factors in DR cases.

All the procedures of this study, combined in one group can be studied with a control group may give new insight in DR management through Ayurveda. Different types of Basti can be planned in different stages of DR based on Brimhana Shodhana and Langhana Sodhana. FFA (Fundus Fluorescein Angiography) and OCT (Optical Coherence Tomography) should be included in study for assessment of Retinal and Macular edema. Drug delivery to
posterior segment can be studied by plasma concentration analysis and by matching biomarkers of drugs after Basti procedure. As Diabetic retinopathy is a diseases of Dritipatala and Patalas are based on Dhatus and all the Patalas are gets affected in DR pathology, thus for enhancing the drug effect duration of therapy should be increased. Since the sample size and duration of therapy was small, so data in many subjective and objective findings are not much conclusive.
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